

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/700,806	Applicant(s) WONG ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas A. Morrison	Art Unit 3653	

All Participants:

 (1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Rejected

 (3) Mr. Robert Wasson (Reg. No. 40,218).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
Claim 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Wasson (applicant's representative) on about 7/21/06 to get permission to make minor changes to the specification and claim 11 by examiner's amendment. Mr. Wasson agreed to such changes during the telephone conversation. During a final update text search, the examiner found two references relevant to claims 11-15. Thus, no examiner's amendment was made. The minor changes needed for the specification and claim 11 are included in the final rejection mailed together with this Interview Summary.